



# MISSISSIPPI

## PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS & TRAINING

### DETENTION OFFICER COURSE EVALUATION INSTRUMENT

Location of Course: \_\_\_\_\_ Course #: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**This questionnaire is a primary means used by BJOST to gather information to assist in maintaining high quality courses. Your cooperation in completing this form is appreciated.**

Agency and Rank Information The first two questions regarding your agency and rank will provide BJOST information as to the composition of this class.

1. Please circle the number representing the type of agency where you are employed.  
Campus    Police    Sheriff's    Juvenile  
1. Police    2. Department    3. Department    4. Detention Agcy    5. State    6. Other \_\_\_\_\_
2. Please circle the number representing the response which most nearly describes your rank.  
Patrolman/  
1. Deputy    2. Sergeant    3. Lieutenant    4. Captain and above    5. Other \_\_\_\_\_

**Using the following rating scale, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. Space is provided at the end of the questionnaire for your comments and/or suggestions. If you rate an item low, we would appreciate your comments in order that improvement may be made.**

**1. Strongly Disagree    2. Disagree    3. Neither Agree nor Disagree    4. Agree    5. Strongly Agree**

1. \_\_\_\_ The course material was well organized and presented in a systematic manner.
2. \_\_\_\_ The course content was relevant and up to date.
3. \_\_\_\_ The course objectives and expectations for student achievement were clearly stated at the beginning of the course.
4. \_\_\_\_ The course met the stated objectives.
5. \_\_\_\_ The course provided me skills and/or knowledge which will help me in my current or future assignment.
6. \_\_\_\_ The course was free from outside distractions (e.g., noise, interruptions).
7. \_\_\_\_ The physical comforts were conducive to learning (e.g., seating arrangements, desk space, classroom conditions).
8. \_\_\_\_ The course site was convenient (e.g., accessible to lodging, meals, transportation).
9. \_\_\_\_ The instructor(s) clearly demonstrated a command of the subject matter.
10. \_\_\_\_ The instructor(s) lectured at a level the student could understand.
11. \_\_\_\_ The instructor(s) used a variety of methods of instruction in the course (e.g., lecture, class discussion, media, and role play).
12. \_\_\_\_ The instructor(s) encouraged student(s) questions and generally answered them satisfactorily.

Please identify any instructor(s) and/or block of instruction that may have been deficient and briefly describe the deficiency or deficiencies.

---

COMPLETE QUESTIONS 13 AND 14 ONLY IF AN EXAMINATION WAS GIVEN.

13. \_\_\_\_ The examination was well prepared and it stressed important course material.

14. \_\_\_\_ There was consistency between course content and examination questions.

OVERALL EVALUATION

15. \_\_\_\_ How would you rate this course?

1. Very poor    2. Poor    3. Fair    4. Good    5. Very good

16. \_\_\_\_ How would you generally rate the effectiveness of the instructor(s)?

1. Very poor    2. Poor    3. Fair    4. Good    5. Very good

---

Please use this space for general comments or suggestions.